

Stored with zero access encryption

## Re: your E-mail to Jeff Bezos - Re: Information

Sent: **Saturday, November 24, 2018 2:51 PM**

From: **MasterBDR MasterBDR@protonmail.com**

To: **ecr-replies+ACTCPF88RRA3A@amazon.com ecr-replies+ACTCPF88RRA3A@amazon.com**

private  
notice to agent is notice to principal. notice to principal is notice to agent. public notice. notice to public.  
this is a self executing contract. silence is acquiescence, agreement, dishonor, and estoppel.  
speak now or forever hold your peace.

to jeff bezos, the man acting as Chief Executive Officer of and for AMAZON; in private and unlimited capacity.

from the self-aware living soul of god existing within the living flesh and blood man of nature sojourning earth.  
hereinafter: me, my, myself, or i.

hello the living being called Erin M. acting as agent of and for Jeff Bezos.

i asked the following question to the chat tech.

"are you absolutely certain i am obligated to pay for things i order on Amazon?"

the chat tech answered yes.

the man, jeff bezos, through his agent, claims he is absolutely certain i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com.

i can not find any positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence i am obligated to pay for things i order of amazon and i believe no such facts of evidence exist.

i require jeff bezos prove his claim or concede his claim is false and waive all immunity and defenses.

1. is jeff bezos absolutely certain that i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](https://amazon.com)? **YES or NO?**

Default answer: NO

if jeff bezos is not absolutely certain that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

2. does jeff bezos possess personal first-hand knowledge i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](https://amazon.com)? **YES or NO?**

DEFAULT ANSWER: NO

if jeff bezos does not possess personal first-hand that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

3. does jeff bezos possess any positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things i order from [amazon.com](https://amazon.com)? **YES or NO?**

DEFAULT ANSWER: NO

if jeff bezos does not possess positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence that i am obligated to pay for things i order from amazon.com and henceforth requires me to pay for things i order from amazon.com, then he is knowingly, willfully and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses forever hereafter.

i require jeff bezos express his claim in writing with his own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain.

if jeff bezos is not willing to express his claim in writing with his own wet in signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain then his claim shall be deemed false and thereby a nullity having no force or effect in any court of law.

any man making a claim has a duty and obligation to prove his claim is true, correct, complete, and certain, not false or misleading and not hearsay.

any man unwilling or unable to prove his claim is knowingly, willfully, and intentionally committing fraud and thereby waives all immunity and defenses heretofore and forever hereafter.

once a fraud always a fraud.

no man can contravene or contradict his own deed. the principal of estoppel by deed.

the claimant is always bound to prove: the burden of proof lies on him.

he who acts through another acts himself, [the acts of an agent are the acts of the principal.]

that which is the principal part of a thing is the thing itself.

no man can give that which he has not (value.)

no one is bound to an impossibility (give value.)

the burden of proof lies upon him who affirms, not on him who denies.

upon the one alleging, not upon him denying, rests the duty of proving.

it is in the nature of things, that he who denies a fact is not bound to prove it.

the presumption is always in favor of the one who denies.

in the law of estoppel, "silence" implies the knowledge and an opportunity to act upon it.  
consent makes the law. (a contract is law between the parties agreeing to be bound by it.)  
what is proved by the record, ought not to be denied.  
what is not proved and what does not exist are the same; it is not a defect of the law, but of proof.  
it is the same thing not to be as not to appear. not to appear is the same thing as not to be.  
an ambiguous answer is to be taken against (is not to be construed in favor of) him who offers it.  
an ambiguous contract is to be interpreted against the seller.

Fraud, in the sense of a court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions, and concealments which involve a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of another. 1 Story, Eq. Jur. § 187.  
Once a fraud, always a fraud. It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. fraud lies hid in general expressions.

proofs are to be weighed not numbered; that is, the more worthy or credible are to be believed. [it doesn't matter how many men say something, because the word of god is superior to all. it does not matter how many people believe a lie, it's still a lie. and in a democracy, a lie is the truth].

he does contrary to the law what the law prohibits; he acts in fraud of the law who, the letter of the law being inviolate, uses the law contrary to its intention. Dig. 1, 3, 29

law is established for the benefit of man. the contract makes the law. **Truth, by whomever pronounced, is from God.**

"silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal and moral duty to speak, or where an injury left unanswered would be intentionally misleading." u.s. v. tweel, 550 f.2d 297,299 (1977), quoting u.s. v. prudden, 424 f.2d 1021, 1032 (1970).

"when circumstances impose duty to speak and one deliberately remains silent, silence is equivalent to false representation." fisher controls international, inc. v. gibbons, 911 s.w. 2d 135 (1995)

"when a person sustains to another a position of trust and confidence, his failure to disclose facts that he has a duty to disclose is as much a fraud as an actual misrepresentation." blanton v. sherman compress co., 256 s.w. 2d 884 (1953)

silence activates estoppel, pursuant to carmine v. bowen, 64 a. 932.

silence is tacit acquiescence, agreement, dishonor and estoppel.

he who does not deny, agrees.  
speak now or forever hold your peace.

i require jeff bezos express his claim in writing with his own wet ink signature and endorsement notarized under penalty of perjury as true, correct, complete, and certain, supported with positive proof of verified facts of unambiguous evidence before the day one of january, year of our lord two thousand and nineteen, or thereby concede fraud and accept, agree and acknowledge full liability.

**i reserve all god given freedom heretofore and forever hereafter surrender none.**  
true ambassador of god known as: **master**; true living soul  
true sovereign man

MBR

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Friday, November 23, 2018 1:47 PM, MasterBDR [MasterBDR@protonmail.com](mailto:MasterBDR@protonmail.com) wrote:

hello Erin M. with [Amazon.com](#)'s Executive Customer Relations.  
i wish to discuss a recent chat, which i am including as an attachment.  
read is and get back with me.

MBR

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Friday, November 23, 2018 1:11 PM, [Amazon.com](#) Executive Customer Relations [ecr-replies@amazon.com](mailto:ecr-replies@amazon.com) wrote:

Good Afternoon,

My name is Erin M. with [Amazon.com](#)'s Executive Customer Relations. Jeff Bezos has received your email and I'm responding on his behalf.

Shannon is unfortunately out of the office until Sunday 11/25/18, however I've notified her of your response. I'd be glad to help if you wish not to wait for Shannon. Please respond directly to this email so I can help as best as possible!

I look forward to your response.

Best regards,  
Erin M., ECR  
Thank you.  
[Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com)